1	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Jay W. Connolly (SBN 114725)	
2	jconnolly@seyfarth.com	
3	Giovanna A. Ferrari (SBN 229871) gferrari@seyfarth.com	
	Joseph J. Orzano (SBN 262040)	
4	jorzano@seyfarth.com 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor	
5	San Francisco, California 94105	
6	Telephone: (415) 397-2823 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549	
7	Attorneys for Defendant WHOLE FOODS MAR	RKET, INC.
8	PRATT & ASSOCIATES	
9	Pierce Gore (SBN 128515) pgore@prattattorneys.com	
10	1871 The Alameda, Suite 425	
10	San Jose, CA 95126 Telephone: (408) 429-6506	
11	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
12	PROVOST UMPHREY LAW FIRM L.L.P. Edward Downs Fisher (<i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	efisher@pulf.com	
13	490 Park Street Beaumont, Texas 77701	
14	Telephone: (409) 838-8859	
15	PROVOST UMPHREY LAW FIRM L.L.P.	
16	W. Michael Hamilton (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) mhamilton@pulf.com	
	2021 Richard Jones Road, Suite 300	
17	Nashville, Tennessee 37215 Telephone: (612) 242-0199	
18		
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERT PRATT	
	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN JOSE DIVISION	
21		
22	ROBERT PRATT, individually and on behalf	Case No. CV 12-05652 EJD
	of all others similarly situated,,	
23	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING LITIGATION DEADLINES AND
24	ŕ	[KRØKØSED] ORDER
25	v.	[L.R. 7-12]
26	WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC.,	•
	Defendant.	Date: June 7, 2013 Time: 9:00 a.m.
27		Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila
28		Courtroom: Courtroom 4, 5th Floor

Stipulation Regarding Litigation Deadlines and [Proposed] Order / Case No. CV 12-05652 EJD

Case 5:12-cv-05652-EJD Document 16 Filed 03/07/13 Page 2 of 4

1	Whole Foods Market, Inc. ("WFMI"), on the one hand, and Robert Pratt ("Pratt"), on the			
2	other hand, (collectively, "Parties") by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby			
3	stipulate and jointly request that the Court issue an Order as follows:			
4	WHEREAS, WFMI has filed a Motion to Dismiss currently set for hearing on June 7,			
5	2013.			
6	WHEREAS, the Motion to Dismiss involves complex issues pertaining to whether this			
7	Court has personal jurisdiction over WFMI;			
8	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and jointly desire time in addition to that			
9	permitted by the Local Rules to fully brief the issues raised in the Motion to Dismiss;			
10	WHEREAS, the parties agree and jointly stipulate that WFMI's entry into this stipulated			
11	request for an order is not intended to and does constitute or evidence a waiver of WFMI's			
12	objection to the Court's jurisdiction as set forth in the Motion to Dismiss;			
13	WHEREAS, the proposed briefing schedule will not modify the time allotted the Court			
14	under the Local Rules to consider the parties' briefing prior to the hearing;			
15	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND JOINTLY REQUESTED that:			
16	1. The Court order that Plaintiff's Opposition is due on or before March 28, 2013;			
17	2. WFMI's Reply is due on or before April 12, 2013;			
18	3. This stipulation and request shall be without prejudice to Defendant's objection to			
19	this Court's personal jurisdiction as set forth in Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and shall not			
20	constitute or be used as evidence of a waiver of Defendant's objection to this Court's personal			
21	jurisdiction as set forth in Defendant's Motion to Dismiss; and			
22	4. All other deadlines in the case remain unchanged.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	//			
	2 Stipulation Regarding Litigation Deadlines and [Proposed] Order / Case No. CV 12-05652 EJD			
- 11	Commence of the commence of th			

Case 5:12-cv-05652-EJD Documer	nt 16 Filed 03/07/13 Page 3 of 4
IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
DATED: March 5, 2013	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
	By: /s/ Jay W. Connolly
	Giovanna A. Ferrari
	Joseph J. Orzano Attorneys for Defendant WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC.
DATED: March 5, 2013	PRATT & ASSOCIATES
	By: /s/
	By: /s/ Pierce Gore Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERT PRATT
DATED: March 5, 2013	PROVOST UMPHREY LAW FIRM L.L.P
	By:/s/
	W. Michael Hamilton Edward Downs Fisher
	Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERT PRATT
,	
<i>II</i>	
<i>II</i>	
//	
<i>II</i>	
<i>//</i>	
	3

[PAROPOSPED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED,

- 1. Plaintiff shall have up to and including March 28, 2013 to file an Opposition to Defendant's currently pending Motion to Dismiss;
- 2. Defendant shall have up to and including April 12, 2013 to file a Reply in support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss;
- 3. This Order is without prejudice to Defendant's objection to this Court's personal jurisdiction as set forth in Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and does not constitute and shall not be used as evidence of a waiver of Defendant's objection to this Court's personal jurisdiction as set forth in Defendant's Motion to Dismiss; and
 - 4. All other deadlines in this action remain unchanged.

Dated:	3/7/2013



15286468v.4